

NASA AAQ Mini-Workshop

Feedback Form

March 22, 2012

1. Keynote: Jose Nunez, NASA KSC, Payload Quality Assurance

13 Very Good

3 Good

1 Fair

0 Disappointing

Comments:

It was very interesting specially the parts he talked about the feedback he got from working with high school students.
Had much more to discuss than we had time. Appreciated that he gave ample time for questions.
Interesting talk, good Q & A.
I appreciated his work as an advocate for high school students' projects with NASA
Could have more specifics.
Very interesting.

2. Enrique Pineyro, Filmmaker, Whisky Romeo Zulu

6 Very Good

2 Good

2 Fair

0 Disappointing

Comments:

Too long.
Interesting and unique.
He is a very moving professional.
One of the best presentations I have ever heard.

3. Ken Crane, NASA MSFC, Using NASA Quality Standards

7 Very Good

6 Good

4 Fair

0 Disappointing

Comments:

Difficult to have control over things like shipping that NASA has in its mission.
Useful resources for QA documentation.
Tough subject to cover in 20 minutes. Appreciated his willingness to discuss offline.
Very interesting, relevant and informative. Experienced speaker.
I am so pleased that he will make the quality documents available.
The content could be better structured.
Straight-forward info presented.

4. Karen Hackney, Western Kentucky University, Kentucky Sat-1 Portion of NASA's Glory Mission

2 Very Good 6 Good 4 Fair 4 Disappointing

Comments:

Could use Power Point and focus on research theme and challenges.
Needs charts!
No Power Point. Just Word document. Weird.
It's better to have PPT file
Was not clear what it was about.
Confused by her presentation, not sure how it fit into AAQ. She read off a design document (well, not even that) which was very hard to follow.
Interesting project with good impact.
PP slides would be helpful.
Really impressive student project results.

5. William Hollerman, University of Louisiana at Lafayette, Inexpensive Student-Based Space Payload Projects

14 Very Good 3 Good 0 Fair 0 Disappointing

Comments:

Encouraging and very useful
Nice presentation, interesting video.
Very interesting.
Very interesting program. Good discussion of constraints and clever ways to work within them.
Excellent speaker, keeps audience engaged!
Covered a broad spectrum of what he is providing his students.
Well delivered presentation.
Also enjoyed this discussion of student projects.

6. Ross Fontenot, Alabama A&M University, Developing Payloads for Space-Based Triboluminescent Impact Sensors

5 Very Good 10 Good 1 Fair 0 Disappointing

Comments:

The topic was very interesting. Not sure how it relates to AAQ.
It is so good to see a "product" of a program now in his career.
The presentation could be better motivated.
Fine talk, but I'm simply not that interested in this material.

7. Iqbal Shareef, Bradley University, ISS SARJ Race Ring Damage Simulation and Durability Test for Life Extension

12 Very Good 5 Good 0 Fair 0 Disappointing

Comments:

Good research
Interesting, but how were students used?
Interesting topic.
Interesting work.
Very good research.
Great presentation.
He is always a great speaker.

8. Brian Hughitt, NASA HQ, Fit of AAQ with NASA Quality Assurance Mission

10 Very Good 5 Good 0 Fair 1 Disappointing

Comments:

Knowledgeable, great for linking academic and NASA
Does NASA understand that we are not companies and we train and not build?
Lot of information to digest. Appreciate the appearance of NASA at the meeting.
Just excellent!
His What's New is always so good to keep us up to the current environment.
Informative presentation.
This is the second time I've seen him speak... quite informative.

9. Michael Swartout, Saint Louis University, Reliability of University-Built Spacecraft: A Statistical Look

12 Very Good 3 Good 1 Fair 0 Disappointing

Comments:

Excellent
Good data
Need similar information that can be readily available for participating researchers, particularly failures and reasons.
Lots of data.
Very interesting. Good speaker. Brief and straight to the point.
It is great to have the database. Other attempts have been made to construct one.
Interesting data.
I had no idea that so many schools were carrying out projects.

10. J.-M. Wersinger, Auburn, The AubieSat Student Satellite Program Flight Experience –Lessons Learned and Best Practices

14 Very Good 3 Good 0 Fair 0 Disappointing

Comments:

Good!
Very engaging. Great discussion of reality. Need more of these presentations.
Great project
Fantastic! This is such an important lesson learned.
Thanks for your enthusiasm.
He always gives good talks.

11. Group Discussion, Components of a Basic Quality Management System for Academia

10 Very Good 5 Good 1 Fair 0 Disappointing

Comments:

Be more structured.
Great discussion.
This was an extremely beneficial first step, very good discussion around the room.
It should be interactive, with short modules. Use of visuals and videos is important.
Alice and Jeff are great at this gathering and compiling and constructing out of the information.
Useful discussion.
Excellent, some very good ideas generated.

12. Jeremy Barnes, AAQ Project Team, Auburn University, Using AAQ Modules for Academic Payload Development

8 Very Good 3 Good 0 Fair 0 Disappointing

Comments:

This is a great resource. Love to see it developed.
Use more visual on website.
Great for student “after hours” help.
Nicely developed project.
Very impressive!

13. IMAX Movie (Wednesday Evening)

2 Very Good 0 Good 0 Fair 0 Disappointing 7 Did Not Attend

Comments:

Did not attend, did not look interesting.

It was very motivational while having a very engaging way of flashback utilization.

Regarding attending a future NASA AAQ Mini-Workshops:

13 I would definitely attend

1 I might attend

0 I would not attend

Regarding the travel stipend:

12 A travel stipend is essential to my attendance at this and future AAQ Mini-Workshops

2 A travel stipend is welcome but not essential

0 A travel stipend is not an important criteria for my attendance

Please share any comments you have regarding the value of this AAQ Mini-Workshop to your academic institution:

Could develop a forum for AAQ and have a kind of handbook document in PDF version for reference purpose for universities, colleges, high schools, etc.

Need more action items for linking academia and NASA.

Arrange internship program with NASA.

It is really good to see how the undergraduate students are involved in the projects.

Less safety talks.

To develop a booklet of successful stories that can be followed by other institutions.

It is a really interesting workshop and I learned a lot from it. I'm inspired to initiate a space project at my university. Thank you for great organization.

It is a very good program being held simultaneously with QLF. If the attendees of AAQ could benefit from attending QLF, it would be really good.

It would be interesting if we can have people from NASA attending and detailing on what are the additional research they are interested in and any possible collaborations with NASA teams.

Would like to develop collaborative activities (and team reviews).

Thank you for your efforts. Very nice event.

The value is extremely great. We have had to go outside of the university for insurance coverage issues and this participation helps.

Terrific workshop. I'm really going to try to find a relevant project I can participate in.

Please suggest any topics or format changes for the next AAQ Mini-Workshop:

Have some senior design projects in the program if possible.

Have more specific presentations with focus on payload quality and risk.

Research opportunities in NASA or how to develop collaboration with NASA.

It would be great if you could invite an engineer (not manager) from NASA who has worked on cubesat projects with universities.

Initiative to have regular interaction among participants.

Love to get more case studies.

We need more networking time throughout the day.